AF

1W 2612 #

1. 8

Alexandria, Virginia 33212-1450

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Attorney Docket: In re Patent Application of: 295620-214-063 Gordon E. Hardman et al. \$erial No.: 09/916,028 SEP 11 2006 Group Art Unit: 2612 Confirmation No. 7425 Filed: July 26, 2001 **ELECTRONIC TIRE** For: i nareby carrily that this correspondence MANAGEMENT SYSTEM is being deposited with the U.S. Postal Service as First Class Mall in an Examiner: Nguyen, Phung envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria. Virginia 22313-1450 or: Commissioner of Patents P.O. Box 1450

COMMUNICATION

In response the Final Rejection mailed July 7, 2006, applicants'undersigned attorney presents herewith an accompanying Terminal Disclaimer and appropriate fee. In the final rejection Office Action the Examiner had rejected claims 1, 40, 100, 107, 110, 114, 122, 123, 130, 137, and 138 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1, 39, 92, 93, 97 and 101 of U.S. Patent No. 6,630,885. The Examiner in paragraph 1 of the Office Action noted that, if timely filed, a terminal disclaimer may be used to overcome such a rejection.

Accordingly, it is believed that the enclosed Terminal Disclaimer comports with the suggestion in the